

TAPPAN ZEE HUDSON RIVER CROSSING PROJECT

Draft Environmental Impact Statement
and Section 4(f) Evaluation



VOLUME I

Rockland and Westchester Counties, New York



Federal Lead Agency: Federal Highway Administration

Joint Lead Agencies: New York State Department of Transportation
and New York State Thruway Authority

January 2012

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
for

Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Interstate 87/287, Rockland and Westchester Counties, New York
PIN 8TZ1.00

SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. 4332 (2) (c)
and 49 U.S.C. 303
by the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

NEW YORK STATE THRUWAY AUTHORITY

Cooperating Agencies

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP);
National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS);
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE);
U.S. Coast Guard (USCG);
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA);
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC);
New York State Department of State (NYSDOS);
New York State Office of General Services (NYSOGS); and
State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) of the New York State Office of Parks,
Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP).

This Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) examines the potential environmental effects of proposed alternatives for the Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project, and where adverse impacts are identified, it discusses measures to mitigate them. The purpose of the project is to maintain a vital link in the regional and national transportation network by providing a new Hudson River crossing between Rockland and Westchester Counties, New York, that addresses the limitations and shortcomings of the existing Tappan Zee Bridge and meets the structural, operational, safety, security, and mobility needs of the Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing.

Comments on this draft EIS are due by March 15, 2012 and should be sent to Michael Anderson, New York State Department of Transportation, 4 Burnett Boulevard, Poughkeepsie, New York 12603.

Jan 18th, 2012

Date of Approval

Joseph A. Foglietta

New York State Department of Transportation

1/18/12

Date of Approval

Donald R. Bell

New York State Thruway Authority

Jan 18, 2012

Date of Approval

Michael J. Flannery

Federal Highway Administration

The following persons may be contacted for additional information concerning this document:

Jonathan D. McDade
Region Administrator
Federal Highway Administration
Leo W. O'Brien Federal Building,
11A Clinton Avenue, Suite 719
Albany, New York 12207
Telephone: (518) 431-4125

Michael P. Anderson, P.E.
Project Director
New York State Dept. of Transportation
4 Burnett Boulevard
Poughkeepsie, New York 12603
Telephone: (877) 892-3685

Ted Nadratowski, P.E.
Interim Project Manager
New York State Thruway Authority
200 Southern Boulevard
Albany, NY 12209
Telephone: (518) 436-2700

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11990
WETLANDS
STATEMENT OF FINDINGS

TAPPAN ZEE HUDSON RIVER CROSSING
ROCKLAND AND WESTCHESTER COUNTIES, NEW YORK


The project was reviewed for compliance with Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands and the U.S DOT Order 5660.1A.

The project involves unavoidable temporary impacts to 3.5 acres of possible freshwater wetlands, 5.3 acres of NYSDEC littoral zone tidal wetlands, and 0.4 acres of NYSDEC tidal wetland adjacent area. This work is necessary to fulfill the purpose and need of the proposed project, to address the structural, operational, safety, security and mobility needs of the Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing.

The project was designed to minimize impacts by requiring only temporary wetlands that will be restored. Based upon these considerations, it is determined that there is no practicable alternative to the proposed construction in wetlands that would avoid all wetland areas and that would meet the stated objectives of the proposed project. The proposed action includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to wetlands which may result from such use. In conjunction with discussions with regulatory agencies, a wetland mitigation plan is being developed.

Erosion and sediment control will be designed to protect wetland areas during construction using Stormwater Management Practices as part of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan. All design and mitigation measures will incorporate the requirements of the NYSDOT Standard Specification for Temporary Soil Erosion and Water Pollution Control and the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control.

Based upon this information, I find that (1) there is no practicable alternative to such construction and (2) that this construction of the proposed alternative contains all practicable measures to minimize harm to wetlands which may result from such use.


Jonathan D. McDade
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration

1/18/2012

(DATE)

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	S-1
Chapter 1: Purpose and Need	1-1
1-1 Introduction	1-1
1-2 Project History	1-1
1-3 Background and Planning Context	1-3
1-3-1 Transportation Context.....	1-3
1-3-2 Regional Context.....	1-3
1-4 Purpose	1-4
1-5 Need	1-4
1-5-1 Structural Deficiencies.....	1-4
1-5-2 Operational and Safety Deficiencies	1-5
1-5-3 Security Deficiencies	1-5
1-5-4 Mobility Deficiencies.....	1-6
1-6 Goals and Objectives.....	1-6
Chapter 2: Project Alternatives	2-1
2-1 Introduction	2-1
2-2 Alternatives Analyzed in this Environmental Impact Statement	2-1
2-2-1 No Build Alternative.....	2-1
2-2-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative	2-3
2-3 Alternatives Considered and Eliminated	2-14
2-3-1 Rehabilitation Alternative.....	2-14
2-3-2 Tunnel Alternative	2-15
2-3-3 Single Structure Alternative	2-16
Chapter 3: Process, Agency Coordination, and Public Participation	3-1
3-1 Introduction	3-1
3-2 Process.....	3-1
3-2-1 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	3-1
3-2-2 State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA)	3-2
3-2-3 Other Federal and State Regulatory Requirements, Permits, and Approvals	3-3
3-2-4 Section 106 Coordination	3-6
3-2-5 Section 4(f) Coordination.....	3-7
3-2-6 Environmental Justice Coordination	3-7
3-3 Agency Coordination.....	3-7
3-3-1 SAFETEA-LU Coordination Plan.....	3-7

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

3-3-2	Permits and Approvals.....	3-8
3-4	Public Outreach Program	3-9
3-5	Repositories	3-11
3-6	Contact Information.....	3-12
Chapter 4:	Transportation	4-1
4-1	Introduction	4-1
4-2	Regulatory Context	4-1
4-3	Methodology.....	4-2
4-3-1	Travel Demand Modeling.....	4-2
4-3-2	Accident Analysis.....	4-3
4-4	Affected Environment.....	4-3
4-4-1	Vehicular Traffic.....	4-3
4-4-1-1	Volumes and Travel Patterns.....	4-4
4-4-1-2	Safety and Accident History	4-5
4-4-2	Marine Transport	4-9
4-4-3	Transit.....	4-10
4-4-4	Bicycles and Pedestrians.....	4-11
4-5	Environmental Effects	4-11
4-5-1	No Build Alternative	4-11
4-5-1-1	Traffic.....	4-11
4-5-1-2	Marine Transport.....	4-14
4-5-1-3	Transit.....	4-14
4-5-1-4	Bicycles and Pedestrians	4-14
4-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	4-14
4-5-2-1	Traffic.....	4-14
4-5-2-2	Marine Transport.....	4-15
4-5-2-3	Transit.....	4-16
4-5-2-4	Pedestrians and Cyclists.....	4-16
4-6	Mitigation.....	4-16
Chapter 5:	Community Character	5-1
5-1	Introduction	5-1
5-2	Regulatory Context	5-1
5-2-1	FHWA Technical Advisory T6640.8A.....	5-2
5-3	Methodology.....	5-2
5-4	Affected Environment.....	5-2
5-4-1	Existing Land Uses and Neighborhood Character	5-3
5-4-1-1	Town of Orangetown, Rockland County	5-3
5-4-1-2	Village of South Nyack, Rockland County.....	5-3
5-4-1-3	Village of Grand View-on-Hudson, Rockland County.....	5-4
5-4-1-4	Village of Tarrytown, Westchester County	5-4
5-4-1-5	Hudson River	5-6
5-4-2	Regional Land Use Plans and Policies	5-6

5-4-2-1	New York Metropolitan Transportation Council	5-6
5-4-2-2	Hudson River Valley Greenway Act.....	5-7
5-4-2-3	Hudson River Valley National Heritage Area Management Plan	5-10
5-4-3	Local Land Use Plans and Policies	5-10
5-4-3-1	Rockland County	5-11
5-4-3-2	Town of Orangetown, Rockland County	5-14
5-4-3-3	Village of South Nyack, Rockland County	5-14
5-4-3-4	Village of Grand View-on-Hudson, Rockland County	5-14
5-4-3-5	Westchester County	5-14
5-4-3-6	Village of Tarrytown, Westchester County.....	5-15
5-4-4	Zoning Ordinances	5-16
5-4-5	Community Facilities and Services.....	5-17
5-4-6	Planned Developments	5-18
5-5	Environmental Effects	5-18
5-5-1	No Build Alternative	5-18
5-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	5-18
5-5-2-1	Land Use and Neighborhood Character	5-19
5-5-2-2	Regional Land Use Plans and Policies.....	5-21
5-5-2-3	Local Land Use Plans and Policies	5-21
5-5-2-4	Zoning	5-24
5-5-3	Community Facilities and Services.....	5-24
5-6	Mitigation	5-25
 Chapter 6: Land Acquisition, Displacement, and Relocation		 6-1
6-1	Introduction	6-1
6-2	Regulatory Context	6-2
6-3	Methodology	6-2
6-3-1	Delineation of Properties to be Acquired	6-2
6-3-1-1	Valuation of Properties	6-2
6-3-2	Data Sources.....	6-3
6-3-3	Impact Criteria	6-4
6-4	Affected Environment.....	6-4
6-4-1	Properties for Acquisition	6-4
6-4-1-1	Rockland County	6-4
6-4-1-2	Westchester County	6-6
6-5	Environmental Effects	6-7
6-5-1	No Build Alternative	6-7
6-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	6-7
6-5-2-1	Rockland County	6-7
6-5-2-2	Westchester County	6-13
6-6	Mitigation	6-14
6-6-1	Displacement.....	6-14
6-6-1-1	Residential Displacement	6-14

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

6-6-1-2	Parking Displacement	6-15
6-6-2	Reduction in Property Tax Revenues	6-15
Chapter 7: Parklands and Recreational Resources		7-1
7-1	Introduction	7-1
7-2	Regulatory Context	7-1
7-3	Methodology.....	7-1
7-4	Affected Environment.....	7-1
7-4-1-1	Elizabeth Place Park and Adjacent Green Space Area	7-3
7-4-1-2	Palisades of the Hudson National Natural Landmark.....	7-3
7-4-1-3	Hudson River Greenway Water Trail	7-4
7-4-1-4	Hudson River Recreational Boating	7-4
7-4-1-5	Riverwalk	7-4
7-5	Environmental Effects	7-4
7-5-1	No Build Alternative	7-4
7-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	7-5
7-6	Mitigation.....	7-6
Chapter 8: Socioeconomic Conditions		8-1
8-1	Introduction	8-1
8-2	Methodology.....	8-2
8-2-1	Study Area Delineation	8-2
8-2-2	Data Sources	8-2
8-3	Affected Environment.....	8-3
8-3-1	Social Conditions	8-3
8-3-1-1	Population	8-3
8-3-1-2	Population Projections	8-3
8-3-1-3	Age Distribution.....	8-4
8-3-1-4	Disabled Population	8-4
8-3-1-5	Households	8-5
8-3-1-6	Median Household Income & Poverty Status.....	8-6
8-3-1-7	Housing Unit Characteristics.....	8-6
8-3-1-8	Housing Value Characteristics	8-7
8-3-2	Economic Conditions	8-7
8-3-2-1	Labor Force	8-7
8-3-2-2	Employment.....	8-9
8-3-2-3	Unemployment.....	8-11
8-4	Environmental Effects	8-11
8-4-1	No Build Alternative	8-11
8-4-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	8-11
8-4-2-1	Social Conditions	8-12
8-4-2-2	Economic Conditions	8-13
8-5	Mitigation.....	8-13

Chapter 9: Visual and Aesthetic Resources	9-1
9-1 Introduction	9-1
9-2 Regulatory and Guidance Context.....	9-1
9-3 Methodology	9-1
9-4 Affected Environment.....	9-2
9-4-1 Visual Character of Interstate 87/287 and the Tappan Zee Bridge	9-3
9-4-1-1 Interstate 87/287.....	9-3
9-4-1-2 Tappan Zee Bridge.....	9-3
9-4-2 Visual Character of the Study Area	9-4
9-4-2-1 Landform	9-4
9-4-2-2 Vegetation	9-4
9-4-2-3 Water	9-5
9-4-2-4 Manmade Development and Land Use	9-6
9-4-3 Visual Quality	9-8
9-4-4 Views and Viewer Groups	9-8
9-4-4-1 Interstate 87/287 Motorists	9-8
9-4-4-2 Boaters	9-9
9-4-4-3 Viewers in Rockland County.....	9-9
9-4-4-4 Viewers in Westchester County.....	9-12
9-5 Environmental Effects.....	9-15
9-5-1 No Build Alternative.....	9-15
9-5-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative—Short Span Option.....	9-15
9-5-2-1 Rockland County	9-15
9-5-2-2 Hudson River Crossing.....	9-17
9-5-2-3 Westchester County	9-23
9-5-3 Replacement Bridge Alternative—Long Span Option.....	9-24
9-5-3-1 Rockland County	9-24
9-5-3-2 Hudson River Crossing.....	9-25
9-6 Mitigation	9-27
 Chapter 10: Historic and Cultural Resources	 10-1
10-1 Introduction	10-1
10-2 Regulatory and Guidance Context	10-1
10-2-1 National Historic Preservation Act (Section 106).....	10-1
10-2-2 Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act	10-3
10-2-3 New York State Historic Preservation Act	10-3
10-3 Methodology.....	10-3
10-3-1 Definition of the Area of Potential Effect.....	10-3
10-3-2 Identification of Historic Properties Within the APE	10-6
10-3-2-1 Architectural Resources.....	10-6
10-3-2-2 Archaeological Resources	10-7
10-3-3 Evaluation of Potential Effects on Historic Properties.....	10-8
10-4 Affected Environment.....	10-8

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

10-4-1 Architectural Resources.....	10-8
10-4-2 Archaeological Resources	10-19
10-4-2-1 Submerged Paleo Landform	10-19
10-4-2-2 Submerged Historic Resources and Potential Shipwrecks	10-20
10-5 Environmental Effects.....	10-22
10-5-1 No Build Alternative	10-22
10-5-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative	10-22
10-5-2-1 Architectural Resources	10-22
10-5-2-2 Archaeological Resources	10-32
10-6 Mitigation	10-32
10-6-1 Architectural Resources.....	10-32
10-6-2 Archaeological Resources	10-33
Chapter 11: Air Quality	11-1
11-1 Introduction.....	11-1
11-2 Regulatory Context.....	11-1
11-2-1 Pollutants for Analysis	11-1
11-2-2 National and State Air Quality Standards	11-2
11-2-3 NAAQS Attainment Status and State Implementation Plans	11-4
11-2-4 Conformity with State Implementation Plans	11-6
11-3 Methodology	11-8
11-3-1 Screening Analysis	11-8
11-3-2 Roadway Emissions and Dispersion Analysis	11-8
11-3-2-1 Vehicle Emissions	11-9
11-3-2-2 Analysis Years	11-9
11-3-2-3 Dispersion Model for Microscale Analyses.....	11-10
11-3-2-4 Meteorology	11-11
11-3-2-5 Traffic Data.....	11-11
11-3-2-6 Background Concentrations	11-11
11-3-2-7 Receptor Locations	11-12
11-3-3 Evaluating Air Quality Impacts	11-12
11-4 Affected Environment	11-13
11-5 Environmental Effects.....	11-13
11-5-1 No Build Alternative	11-13
11-5-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative	11-14
11-6 Mitigation	11-17
Chapter 12: Noise and Vibration.....	12-1
12-1 Introduction.....	12-1
12-2 Regulatory Requirements	12-1
12-3 Methodology	12-2
12-3-1 Noise and Vibration Fundamentals and Terminology	12-2
12-3-1-1 Noise.....	12-2
12-3-1-2 Vibration	12-4

12-3-2 Traffic Noise Analysis Methodology	12-4
12-3-3 Impact Criteria	12-5
12-3-3-1 FHWA and NYSDOT Criteria	12-5
12-4 Affected Environment	12-6
12-4-1 Introduction	12-6
12-4-2 Potentially Affected Land Uses	12-7
12-4-3 Measurements of Existing Noise Levels	12-7
12-4-3-1 Determination of Critical Analysis Hour(s)	12-7
12-4-3-2 Analysis Hour Noise Measurements	12-8
12-4-4 Comparison of Measured and Modeled Existing Noise Levels	12-9
12-5 Environmental Effects	12-10
12-5-1 No Build Alternative	12-10
12-5-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative	12-12
12-5-2-1 Short Span Option	12-12
12-5-2-2 Long Span Option	12-13
12-5-3 Conclusions	12-14
12-6 Mitigation	12-14
12-6-1 Introduction	12-14
12-6-2 Traffic Management	12-15
12-6-3 Alteration of Vertical and Horizontal Alignment	12-16
12-6-4 Noise Barriers	12-16
12-6-5 Buffer Zones	12-19
12-6-6 Noise Insulation	12-19
Chapter 13: Energy and Climate Change	13-1
13-1 Introduction	13-1
13-2 Environmental Effects	13-1
13-2-1 No Build Alternative	13-1
13-2-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative	13-1
13-3 Measures to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions	13-2
13-4 Mitigation	13-3
Chapter 14: Topography, Geology, and Soils	14-1
14-1 Introduction	14-1
14-2 Regulatory Context	14-1
14-3 Methodology	14-1
14-4 Affected Environment	14-2
14-4-1 Topography	14-2
14-4-2 Geology	14-2
14-4-2-1 Bedrock Geology	14-2
14-4-2-2 Surficial Geology	14-3
14-4-2-3 Seismic Conditions	14-3
14-4-3 Soils	14-3

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

14-5	Environmental Effects	14-5
14-5-1	No Build Alternative	14-5
14-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	14-5
14-5-2-1	Topography	14-5
14-5-2-2	Geology	14-5
14-5-2-3	Soils	14-6
14-6	Mitigation	14-6
Chapter 15:	Water Resources	15-1
15-1	Introduction	15-1
15-2	Regulatory Context	15-1
15-3	Methodology	15-3
15-3-1	Stormwater Analysis Methodology	15-4
15-3-2	Scour and Deposition Analysis Methodology	15-5
15-4	Affected Environment	15-6
15-4-1	Groundwater Resources	15-6
15-4-2	Surface Water Resources	15-6
15-4-2-1	Watersheds and Waterbodies	15-6
15-4-2-2	Floodplains	15-7
15-4-2-3	Water Quality	15-7
15-4-3	Sediment Quality	15-10
15-4-3-1	Sediment Characteristics	15-10
15-4-3-2	Sediment Scour And Deposition	15-10
15-4-3-3	Sediment Quality	15-11
15-5	Environmental Effects	15-16
15-5-1	No Build Alternative	15-16
15-5-1-1	Groundwater Resources	15-16
15-5-1-2	Surface Water Resources	15-16
15-5-1-3	Sediments	15-16
15-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	15-16
15-5-2-1	Groundwater Resources	15-17
15-5-2-2	Surface Water Resources	15-17
15-5-2-3	Sediments	15-22
15-6	Mitigation	15-22
Chapter 16:	Ecology	16-1
16-1	Introduction	16-1
16-2	Regulatory Context	16-1
16-2-1	Federal	16-1
16-2-2	New York State	16-4
16-3	Methodology	16-5
16-3-1	Study Area	16-5
16-3-2	Data Sources	16-5
16-4	Affected Environment	16-6

16-4-1 Terrestrial Resources	16-6
16-4-1-1 Terrestrial Ecological Communities	16-6
16-4-1-2 Wildlife	16-8
16-4-1-3 Threatened, Endangered, and Special Concern Species ..	16-10
16-4-2 Aquatic Resources	16-14
16-4-2-1 Wetlands.....	16-15
16-4-2-2 Aquatic Biota.....	16-16
16-4-3 Special Habitat Areas.....	16-25
16-4-3-1 USFWS Significant Habitats	16-25
16-4-3-2 Significant Coastal Fish and wildlife habitat.....	16-25
16-4-3-3 Essential Fish Habitat (EFH).....	16-26
16-5 Environmental effects.....	16-26
16-5-1 No Build Alternative.....	16-26
16-5-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative	16-27
16-5-2-1 Terrestrial Resources	16-27
16-5-2-2 Aquatic Resources.....	16-31
16-5-2-3 Special Habitats.....	16-34
16-6 Mitigation.....	16-35
Chapter 17: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Materials	17-1
17-1 Introduction	17-1
17-2 Regulatory Context.....	17-1
17-3 Methodology.....	17-2
17-4 Affected Environment.....	17-2
17-5 Environmental Effects	17-4
17-5-1 No Build Alternative.....	17-4
17-5-2 Replacement Bridge Alternative	17-5
17-6 Mitigation.....	17-5
Chapter 18: Construction Impacts	18-1
18-1 Introduction	18-1
18-2 Construction Sequence and Schedule	18-2
18-2-1 Landings.....	18-2
18-2-2 Approaches	18-2
18-2-3 Main Spans	18-2
18-3 Construction of Key Elements	18-3
18-3-1 Waterfront Construction Staging	18-3
18-3-2 In-Land Construction Staging.....	18-3
18-3-3 Dredged Access Channel.....	18-6
18-3-4 Transport and Disposal of Dredged Material.....	18-8
18-3-5 Substructure Construction.....	18-9
18-3-6 Construction of Bridge Superstructure	18-14
18-3-7 Existing Bridge Demolition	18-14

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

18-3-8 Construction Analysis Framework	18-15
18-3-9 Other Environmental Performance Commitments	18-17
18-4 Social, Economic, and Environmental Impacts	18-20
18-4-1 Transportation	18-20
18-4-2 Community Character	18-24
18-4-3 Land Acquisition, Displacement, and Relocation	18-27
18-4-4 Parklands and Recreational Resources	18-27
18-4-5 Socioeconomic Conditions	18-28
18-4-6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources	18-31
18-4-7 Historic and Cultural Resources	18-32
18-4-8 Air Quality	18-33
18-4-9 Noise and Vibration	18-45
18-4-10 Energy and Climate Change	18-56
18-4-11 Topography, Geology, and Soils	18-63
18-4-12 Water Resources	18-63
18-4-13 Ecology	18-73
18-4-14 Hazardous and Contaminated Materials	18-110
18-5 Mitigation	18-111
18-5-1 Ecology	18-111
Chapter 19: Environmental Justice	19-1
19-1 Introduction	19-1
19-2 Regulatory Context	19-1
19-3 Methodology	19-2
19-3-1 Delineation of Study Area	19-2
19-3-2 Identification of Environmental Justice Populations	19-3
19-4 Affected Environment	19-4
19-4-1 Minority Status Analysis	19-4
19-4-1-1 Operational Study Area	19-4
19-4-1-2 Construction Study Area	19-5
19-4-2 Poverty Status Analysis	19-6
19-4-2-1 Operational Study Area	19-6
19-4-2-2 Construction Study Area	19-6
19-5 Environmental Effects	19-7
19-5-1 Summary of Adverse Effects	19-7
19-5-2 Analysis of the Potential for Disproportionately High and Adverse Impacts	19-8
19-6 Public Participation	19-11
19-7 Mitigation for Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects	19-11
Chapter 20: Coastal Area Management	20-1
20-1 Introduction	20-1
20-2 Regulatory Context	20-1
20-3 Methodology	20-2

20-4	Affected Environment	20-2
20-5	Coastal Zone Policy Analysis	20-2
20-5-1	No Build Alternative	20-2
20-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	20-2
20-5-2-1	Coastal Zone Policies	20-3
20-5-2-2	Fish and Wildlife Policies	20-4
20-5-2-3	Flooding and Erosion Policies	20-5
20-5-2-4	General Safeguards Policy	20-7
20-5-2-5	Public Access Policies	20-7
20-5-2-6	Recreation Policies	20-8
20-5-2-7	Historic Resources and Visual Quality Policies	20-8
20-5-2-8	Agricultural Lands Policy	20-9
20-5-2-9	Energy and Ice Management Policies	20-10
20-5-2-10	Water and Air Resources Policies	20-10
20-5-2-11	Wetlands Policy	20-13
Chapter 21: Indirect and Cumulative Effects		21-1
21-1	Introduction	21-1
21-2	Indirect Effects	21-1
21-3	Cumulative Effects	21-2
21-3-1	Operational Effects	21-2
21-3-2	Construction Effects	21-2
21-3-2-1	Aquatic Ecology	21-4
21-3-2-2	Dredging	21-4
Chapter 22: Other NEPA and SEQRA Considerations		22-1
22-1	Introduction	22-1
22-2	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources	22-1
22-2-1	No Build Alternative	22-1
22-2-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	22-2
22-3	Relationship between Short-term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	22-2
22-3-1	No Build Alternative	22-2
22-3-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	22-2
22-4	Unavoidable Impacts	22-3
22-4-1	No Build Alternative	22-3
22-4-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	22-3
22-5	New York State Smart Growth Public Infrastructure Policy Act	22-5
22-5-1	No Build Alternative	22-5
22-5-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	22-5
Chapter 23: Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation		23-1
23-1	Introduction	23-1
23-2	Regulatory Context	23-1

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

23-2-1 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts	23-2
23-2-2 Programmatic Section 4(f) Evaluation for Historic Bridges	23-3
23-2-3 Section 4(f) Review Process.....	23-4
23-3 Applicability of Section 4(f) to the Project	23-5
23-4 Section 4(f) Evaluation.....	23-6
23-4-1 Tappan Zee Bridge	23-6
23-4-1-1 Description of the Use of the Section 4(f) Property	23-6
23-4-1-2 AlternativeS to Avoid the Use of the Section 4(f) Property..	23-6
23-4-1-3 Measures to Minimize Harm.....	23-10
23-4-2 Elizabeth Place Park.....	23-11
23-4-3 South Nyack Historic District	23-11
23-4-3-1 Description of the Use of the Section 4(f) Property	23-12
23-4-3-2 Avoidance Alternatives.....	23-12
23-4-3-3 Least Harm Alternatives	23-13
23-4-3-4 Measures to Minimize Harm.....	23-15
23-5 Coordination	23-15

List of Preparers **List of Preparers - 1**

List of Recipients **List of Recipients - 1**

Appendices (Volume II)

Appendix A: Replacement Bridge Design Options

Appendix B: Transportation

Appendix C: Historic and Cultural Resources

Appendix D: Noise and Vibration

Appendix E: Water Resources

Appendix F: Ecology

Appendix G: Hazardous Wastes and Contaminated Materials

Appendix H: Construction Impacts

List of Tables

2-1 Planned Developments Within the Study Area.....2-2

2-2 Notable Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Projects in the Study Area2-3

3-1 Permits and Approvals by Agency.....3-9

4-1 Accident Rates for the Tappan Zee Bridge and New York State (2008 to 2010)4-8

4-2 Traffic Incident Frequency of Occurrence on the Tappan Zee Bridge4-9

4-3 Ship and Barge Movements on the Hudson River4-10

4-4 Projected Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Average Annual Growth Rates for the Tappan Zee Bridge4-13

5-1 Community Facilities5-17

5-2 Planned Developments Within the Study Area.....5-18

6-1 Town of Orangetown Real Property (Tax Parcels) for Full or Partial Acquisition–Existing Conditions.....6-5

6-2 Town of Orangetown Taxing Districts, Tax Levies, and Tax Rates6-6

6-3 Town of Greenburgh Tax Lots for Acquisition6-6

6-4 Town of Greenburgh Taxing Districts, Tax Levies, and Tax Rates6-7

6-5 Property Acquisitions for the Replacement Bridge Alternative6-8

6-6 Estimated Reduction in Property Taxes in Rockland County (Short Span Option).....6-9

6-7 Estimated Reduction in Property Taxes in Rockland County (Long Span Option)6-10

6-8 Estimated Property Tax Impacts in Rockland County (Short Span Option).....6-12

6-9 Estimated Property Tax Impacts in Rockland County (Long Span Option)6-13

6-10 Estimated Reduction in Property Taxes in Westchester County (Long Span and Short Span Options)6-13

6-11 Estimated Property Tax Impacts in Westchester County (Long Span and Short Span Options)6-14

7-1 Study Area Parklands and Trails7-2

8-1 2000 and 2010 Population.....8-3

8-2 NYMTC Population Projections (in 000s).....8-4

8-3 2000 and 2010 Age Distribution8-5

8-4 2000 Disabled Population.....8-5

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

8-5	2000 and 2010 Household Characteristics	8-5
8-6	Median Household Income and Poverty Status.....	8-6
8-7	Housing Unit Characteristics	8-7
8-8	Housing Value Characteristics (2000, 2005-2009)	8-8
8-9	Average Annual Labor Force	8-8
8-10	2010 Employment—Rockland County Study Area	8-9
8-11	2010 Employment—Westchester County Study Area	8-10
8-12	Unemployment.....	8-11
9-1	Visual Simulations	9-19
10-1	Architectural Resources within the APE	10-9
10-2	Architectural Resources within the APE	10-23
11-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).....	11-3
11-2	Non-Attainment Areas in the Project Study Area.....	11-4
11-3	Net Emission Rate Calculation by Analysis Year.....	11-10
11-4	Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data for Criteria Pollutants, 2008 to 2010	11-14
11-5	Total Maximum Predicted CO Concentrations (ppm)	11-15
11-6	Maximum Predicted PM Concentration Increments ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	11-16
11-7	Maximum Total Predicted PM Concentrations along Shared-Use Path ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	11-16
12-1	Common Noise Levels.....	12-3
12-2	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria Hourly A-Weighted Sound Levels (dBA) ...	12-6
12-3	Selected Measurement Locations.....	12-8
12-4	2005 Leq(1) AM Peak Hour Noise Measurement Results	12-9
12-5	Comparison of 2005 Measurement and TNM-Predicted Leq(1) Noise Levels	12-9
12-6	2010 Existing Conditions-Number of Properties Exceeding NAC.....	12-10
12-7	No Build Alternative-AM Peak Hour Leq(1) Noise Levels.....	12-11
12-8	No Build Alternative-Number of Properties Exceeding NAC.....	12-11
12-9	Short Span Option-AM Peak Hour Leq(1) Noise Levels.....	12-12
12-10	Short Span Option-Number of Properties Exceeding NAC.....	12-13
12-11	Long Span Option-AM Peak Hour Leq(1) Noise Levels	12-13
12-12	Long Span Option-Number of Properties Exceeding NAC	12-14
12-13	Summary of Noise Barrier Feasibility and Cost-Benefit Analysis—Short Span Option and Long Span Option Westchester County	12-17
12-14	Summary of Noise Barrier Feasibility and Cost-Benefit Analysis—Short Span Option Rockland County	12-18
12-15	Summary of Noise Barrier Feasibility and Cost-Benefit Analysis—Long Span Option Rockland County	12-19

Table of Contents

14-1	Soils in the Study Area	14-4
15-1	ERL and ERM Screening Criteria.....	15-11
15-2	TOGS 5.1.9 Sediment Quality Thresholds	15-12
15-3	Metals.....	15-13
15-4	SVOCs.....	15-14
15-5	Pesticides, PCBs, and Dioxins	15-15
15-6	Impervious Surface Comparison	15-20
15-7	Pollutant Loading Comparison	15-21
15-8	Pollutant Loading Comparison for Landings Only	15-21
16-1	Threatened, Endangered, Candidate, and Special Concern Species	16-11
16-2	List of Fish Species Occurring within the Project Area Based on Gill-net Sampling, 2007-2008	16-21
16-3	Federally Managed Species with Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) Designations in the Project Sites.....	16-22
18-1	Dredging Quantities for the Replacement Bridge Alternatives	18-7
18-2	Daily Materials Removal by Construction Stage	18-8
18-3	Pile Driving, Short Span Option.....	18-10
18-4	Pile Driving, Long Span Option	18-10
18-5	Major Construction Equipment Required for Bridge Construction.....	18-16
18-6	Major Construction Equipment Required for Roadway Construction	18-17
18-7	One-Way Peak Daily Construction Trips In-land to Waterfront Staging Area (near Interchange #12).....	18-23
18-8	Economic Benefits from Construction	18-30
18-9	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Construction Site Sources—Rockland Landing, Reconstruction of the South Broadway Bridge ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	18-39
18-10	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Construction Site Sources—Rockland Landing, Approach Roadway Construction ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	18-40
18-11	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Construction Site Sources—Rockland Inland Staging Area ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	18-40
18-12	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Construction Site Sources—Bridge Construction, Rockland Approach and Main Span ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	18-41
18-13	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Construction Site Sources—Westchester Landing ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	18-41
18-14	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Construction Site Sources—Bridge Construction, Westchester Approach and Main Span ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	18-42
18-15	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Mobile Sources— Rockland County ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	18-42

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

18-16	Maximum Predicted Pollutant Concentrations from Mobile Sources— Westchester County (µg/m ³)	18-43
18-17	Total Regional Emissions from Construction Activities (ton/yr)	18-44
18-18	Highway Construction Equipment Noise Reference Levels and Usage Factors from RCNM 1.1	18-47
18-19	Selected Noise Receptor Locations.....	18-48
18-20	Existing Noise Levels at Construction Noise Receptors	18-49
18-21	Construction Noise Analysis Results Without Noise Abatement Measures ..	18-50
18-22	Construction Noise Analysis Results With Noise Abatement Measures.	18-53
18-23	Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	18-55
18-24	Total Construction Truck Trips and Distances	18-59
18-25	Greenhouse Gas Emissions—Long Span Option.....	18-61
18-26	Greenhouse Gas Emissions—Short Span Option	18-61
18-27	Overwater Coverage from Platforms	18-75
18-28	Potential Loss of River Bottom, Wetlands, and Adjacent Area Habitats due to Project Activities	18-75
19-1	Operational Study Area Race and Ethnicity.....	19-4
19-2	Construction Study Area Race and Ethnicity	19-5
19-3	Operational Study Area Poverty Status	19-6
19-4	Construction Study Area Poverty Status.....	19-7
22-1	Replacement Bridge Alternative’s Consistency with New York State Smart Growth Public Infrastructure Policy Act.....	22-6

List of Figures

1-1	Project Location and Regional Roadway Network	1-2
1-2	Existing Bridge Plan, Profile, and Photographs	1-2
1-3	Traffic Volumes.....	1-3
1-4	Population and Employment Growth: 2010 to 2047	1-3
1-5	Accident Rates	1-5
2-1	Options That Would Not Preclude Transit.....	2-6
2-2	Replacement Bridge Alternative	2-7
2-3	Rockland Landing.....	2-8
2-4	Westchester Landing.....	2-8
2-5	Approach Spans Options.....	2-11
2-6	Main Spans Options	2-12
2-7	Replacement Bridge Alternative: Roadway Configuration.....	2-13
4-1	Tappan Zee Bridge Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes	4-4
4-2	Tappan Zee Bridge 2010 Average Weekday Traffic Volumes	4-4
4-3	Tappan Zee Bridge 2011 Typical Weekday Truck Volumes	4-4
4-4	Traffic Incidents	4-7
5-1	Study Area Land Use	5-1
5-2	Rockland County Land Use.....	5-2
5-3	Westchester County Land Use.....	5-2
5-4	Rockland County Zoning	5-17
5-5	Westchester County Zoning	5-17
5-6	Community Facilities	5-17
6-1	Land Acquisitions – Rockland County Short Span	6-3
6-2	Land Acquisitions – Rockland County Long Span.....	6-3
6-3	Land Acquisitions – Westchester County Short/Long Span	6-3
7-1	Parklands and Recreational Resources	7-1
7-2	Elizabeth Place Park	7-5
7-3	Green Space	7-5
7-4	RiverWalk	7-5
8-1	2010 Socioeconomic Study Areas.....	8-2
8-2	2000 Socioeconomic Study Areas.....	8-2
9-1	Locations of Photographs and Simulations	9-2
9-2	Visual and Aesthetic Resources.....	9-5

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

9-3	Visual and Aesthetic Resources	9-6
9-4	Visual and Aesthetic Resources	9-9
9-5	Visual and Aesthetic Resources	9-12
9-6	Visual Simulation R1, Bight Lane at River Road, Grand View-on-Hudson, Rockland County	9-19
9-7	Visual Simulation R2, Piermont Avenue, Grand View-on-Hudson, Rockland County	9-19
9-8	Visual Simulation R3, River Road, Grand View-on-Hudson, Rockland County	9-19
9-9	Visual Simulation R4, River Road, Grand View-on-Hudson, Rockland County	9-19
9-10	Visual Simulation R5, River Road, Grand View-on-Hudson, Rockland County	9-19
9-11	Visual Simulation R6, Old Mountain Road, Orangetown, Rockland County	9-19
9-12	Visual Simulation R7, Memorial Park, Nyack, Rockland County	9-19
9-13	Visual Simulation R8, Rockland Lake, Clarkstown, Rockland County	9-19
9-14	Visual Simulation W1, Quay Condominiums, Tarrytown, Westchester County	9-19
9-15	Visual Simulation W2, Losee Park, Tarrytown, Westchester County	9-19
9-16	Visual Simulation W3, Warner Library, Tarrytown, Westchester County ..	9-19
9-17	Visual Simulation W4, Tarrytown Lighthouse, Sleepy Hollow, Westchester County	9-19
9-18	Visual Simulation W5, Lyndhurst, Tarrytown, Westchester County	9-19
9-19	Visual Simulation W6, Mathiessen Park, Irvington, Westchester County ..	9-19
10-1	Direct and Indirect Effects APEs	10-4
10-2	Architectural Resources in APE	10-6
10-3	Architectural Resources in APE: Detail of Rockland County North	10-6
10-4	Architectural Resources in APE: Detail of Rockland County South	10-6
10-5	Architectural Resources in APE: Detail of Westchester County North	10-6
10-6	Architectural Resources in APE: Detail of Westchester County South	10-6
10-7	Short Span Option – Rockland County	10-25
10-8	Long Span Option – Rockland County	10-25
11-1	Mobile-Source Analysis Receptor Locations Rockland County	11-12
11-2	Mobile-Source Analysis Receptor Locations Westchester County	11-12
12-1	Study Area Land Use	12-7
12-2	Noise Measurement Sites	12-7
12-3	Hourly L _{eq} in dBA at Long-Term (24 Hour) Measurement Site	12-8
12-4	Existing 2010 AM Peak Hour Noise Contours: Westchester County	12-10
12-5	Existing 2010 AM Peak Hour Noise Contours: Rockland County	12-10

Table of Contents

12-6	Selected Noise Receptor Sites.....	12-10
12-7	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the No Build Alternative: Westchester County.....	12-11
12-8	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the No Build Alternative: Rockland County.....	12-11
12-9	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Short Span Option: Westchester County.....	12-12
12-10	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Short Span Option: Rockland County.....	12-12
12-11	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Long Span Option: Westchester County	12-14
12-12	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Long Span Option: Rockland County	12-14
12-13	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Short Span Option with Noise Barriers: Westchester County	12-17
12-14	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Long Span Option with Noise Barriers: Westchester County	12-17
12-15	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Short Span Option with Noise Barriers: Rockland County	12-17
12-16	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Short Span Option with Wall 1 on Structure: Rockland County.....	12-17
12-17	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Long Span Option with Noise Barriers: Rockland County	12-17
12-18	Locations Where the 2047 AM Peak Hour Levels Exceed the NACs for the Long Span Option with Wall 1 on Structure: Rockland County	12-17
14-1	Existing Topography.....	14-2
14-2	Existing Slopes	14-2
14-3	Bedrock Geology	14-2
14-4	Geologic Cross Section	14-2
14-5	Surficial Geology	14-3
14-6	Soils Map.....	14-4
15-1	Study Area.....	15-3
15-2	Bathymetry	15-3
15-3	Sediment Sample Locations.....	15-3
15-4	Aquifer and Drainage Basins.....	15-6
15-5	Floodplain.....	15-7
15-6	Average Salinity Concentration at Hastings-on-Hudson	15-8
15-7	Average Water Temperature at Albany and the Battery.....	15-9
15-8	SSC Data.....	15-9
15-9	Sediment Texture	15-10
15-10	Recent Sediment Deposit Thickness.....	15-10

**Tappan Zee Hudson River Crossing Project
Environmental Impact Statement**

15-11	Long Span Option Scour	15-11
15-12	Short Span Option Scour	15-11
15-13	Sediment Sample Locations and TOGS 5.1.9 Sediment Quality Classifications	15-12
15-14	Sediment Sample Locations and TOGS 5.1.9 Sediment Quality Classifications	15-12
15-15	Sediment Sample Locations and TOGS 5.1.9 Sediment Quality Classifications	15-12
15-16	Sediment Sample Locations and TOGS 5.1.9 Sediment Quality Classifications	15-12
15-17	Drainage Areas	15-20
16-1	Ecology Study Areas	16-5
16-2	Tidal Wetlands	16-15
16-3	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (SAV)	16-17
16-4	Benthic Sampling Locations	16-18
16-5	Oyster Beds	16-18
17-1	Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Materials: Potential Sites of Concern	17-3
18-1	Project Schedule	18-2
18-2	Potential Upland Staging Areas	18-3
18-3	Rockland Landing Construction Access	18-3
18-4	Westchester Landing Construction Access	18-5
18-5	Construction Sequence, Years 1–5	18-6
18-6	Short Span Bridge Option – Indicative Plan and Elevation	18-9
18-7	Long Span Bridge Option – Indicative Plan and Elevation	18-9
18-8	Rockland Landing – Reconstruction of the South Broadway Bridge	18-35
18-9	Rockland Landing – Approach Roadway Construction	18-35
18-10	Bridge Construction – Rockland Approach and Main Span	18-35
18-11	Bridge Construction – Westchester Approach and Main Span	18-35
18-12	Noise Monitoring Locations	18-46
18-13	Stage 1 – Near Slack Tide	18-67
18-14	Stage 1 – Ebb Tide	18-67
18-15	Zoning B & C Construction After Dredging and Armoring – Near Slack Tide	18-67
18-16	Stage 2 – Flood Tide	18-67
18-17	Aquifer and Drainage Basins	18-70
18-18	Floodplain	18-72
18-19	National Wetland Inventory Mapped Wetlands, Westchester Inland Staging Area (WISA)	18-76

Table of Contents

18-20	National Wetland Inventory Mapped Wetlands, West Nyack Staging Area (WNSA)	18-77
18-21	National Wetland Inventory Mapped Wetlands, Tilcon Quarry Staging Area (TQSA)	18-77
18-22	Impact Hammering of 4-, 6-, 8-, and 10-Foot Diameter Piles with BMPs.....	18-92
18-23	Isopleths for Short and Long Span Options – Driving of Two 10-Foot Piles at Piers 24, 25, 44, & 45	18-93
18-24	Isopleths for Short and Long Span Options – Driving of Four 4-Foot Piles at Piers 12, 16, 23, & 30	18-93
18-25	Percent of the Hudson River Width Occupied by the 187dB Isopleth during Pile Driving at the Proposed Tappan Zee Crossing Short Span Option ..	18-93
18-26	Percent of the Hudson River Width Occupied by the 187dB Isopleth during Pile Driving at the Proposed Tappan Zee Crossing Long Span Option ..	18-93
19-1	Environmental Justice Study Area: Minority Analysis.....	19-2
19-2	Environmental Justice Study Area: Low-Income Analysis.....	19-2
19-3	Construction Study Area for Environmental Justice: Minority Analysis	19-2
19-4	Construction Study Area for Environmental Justice: Low Income Analysis	19-2
20-1	Coastal Zone	20-1
23-1	Section 4(f) Properties	23-5
23-2	Avoidance Alternatives: Build at a New Location Alternative	23-7